#### **REMARKS**

### **Claim Status**

Claims 1-9 are pending in the present application. Claims 1 and 4 are amended in an editorial manner, while claims 7-9 are newly presented.

## **Specification Support**

As mentioned in the Introductory Comments, above, the patent office has already given a positive indication regarding support for these claims. Please see Final Office Action (2/24/06) and Office Action (2/7/05), each from target patent application no. 10/087,314, where the published version of our application (10/723/731) is used as a primary reference against the Zeller application.

We also provide the following by way of example specification and written description support. Of course, this is not an exhaustive listing as there are other areas of the specification that also provide support. Thus, the following tables are not meant to limit the scope of the claims as many other examples and implementations will fall within their scope.

Table 1: Claim 1

1. A method for embedding information in	See, e.g., page 2, lines 19-28; see also, e.g.,
an image so that the image will have	page 4, lines 4-12.
different information when the image is	
reproduced by a scanning or printing	
process, the method comprising	
embedding digital information in an	See, e.g., Fig. 1, element 10; see also, e.g.,
image;	page 3, lines 1-12 and page 7, lines 5-9;
	and see, e.g., page 13, lines 10-17.
printing the embedded digital	See, e.g., Fig. 1 elements 10; see also, e.g.,
information and the image to produce an	page 7, lines 5-9 (original document 10 has
original printed image;	a watermark power ratio 1:1); see also, e.g.,
	page 3, lines 1-12 and page 7, lines 11-15.

scanning the original printed image to	See, e.g., Fig. 1, element 12; see also, e.g.,
obtain a digital image of the embedded	page 7, lines 11-15 (where the printed
information and the image;	image containing the watermarks is copied,
	e.g., scanned).
determining the signal strength of the	See, e.g., Fig. 1, element 10 (power ratio
original image; and	1:1); see also, e.g., page 7, line 5.
comparing the signal strength of a	See, e.g., Fig. 1, element 10b (power ratio
printed image with the signal strength of	of the scanned and reprinted original
the original printed image to determine	document is now 1:10); see also, e.g., page
whether or not the printed image is a copy	3, lines 1-12 (where energy levels are used
of the original printed image.	to determine if a watermarked image is an
	original printed image or a copy of the
	original printed image).

Table 2: Claim 2

2. The method claimed in claim 1, wherein	The specification has repeated discussion
the image is a graphic	of "images". A quick Google search
	("define graphic") revealed many
	definitions including: "an image that is
	generated by a computer," "computer data
	in the form of a picture or image," and "(or
	image) In computers, and on the Internet, a
	picture is generally referred to as a
*	'graphic' or an 'image'." We invite the
	Examiner to repeat our Google search.

Table 3: Claim 3

3. The method claimed in claim 1, wherein	See, e.g., page 3, lines 1-12
a bit map file is created for the original	
printed image.	

Table 4: Claim 4

4. The method claimed in claim 1, wherein the comparing step further comprises:

measuring the signal strength of the original printed image to set a threshold topies of the original printed image.

See, e.g., Fig. 1, elements 10 and 10b; see also the table spanning pages 12 and 13; see also, e.g., page 3, lines 1-12, see also page 7, lines 5-15.

Table 5: Claim 5

5. The method claimed in claim 4,	See, e.g., page 3, lines 1-12; see also, e.g.,
whereby if the signal strength of a printed	the table spanning pages 12 and 13; see
image is greater than the threshold value	also, e.g., page 3, lines 1-12, see also page
the printed image is the original printed	7, lines 5-15.
image.	

Table 6: Claim 6

6. The method claimed in claim 4, see also, e.g., see also, e.g., whereby if the signal strength of a printed image is less than the threshold value the printed image is not the original printed image.

See, e.g., page 3, lines 1-12; see also, e.g., also, e.g., page 3, lines 1-12, see also page 7, lines 5-15.

Table 7: Claim 7

7. A method to determine whether an	See, e.g., page 2, lines 19-28; see also, e.g.,
image or video has been reproduced, the	page 4, lines 4-12.
method comprising:	
receiving an image or video, wherein	See, e.g., page 3, lines 1-12; see also, e.g.,
the image or video includes information	page 4, lines 4-12 and see, e.g., page 2,
steganographically hidden therein;	lines 19-28; see also, e.g., page 13, lines
	10-17.
detecting signal characteristics	See, e.g., Fig. 1, elements 10 and 10b; see

associated with the steganographically	also, e.g., page 7, lines 5-9 and 11-15.
hidden information from the image or	
video;	
determining whether detected signal	See, e.g., Fig. 1, elements 10 and 10b; see
characteristics correspond to predetermined	also, e.g., page 7, lines 5-9 and 11-15; see,
signal characteristics in an expected	e.g., page 8, lines 14-21.
manner,	
wherein a reproduction is determined	See, e.g., Fig. 1, elements 10 and 10b; see
when the detected characteristics do not	also, e.g., page 7, lines 5-9 and 11-15; see,
correspond to the predetermined	e.g., page 8, lines 14-21.
characteristics in the expected manner.	

Table 8: Claim 8

8. The method of claim 7 wherein the	See, e.g., page 13, lines 10	9-14. See Fig. 1,
information steganographic hidden in the	element 10.	John Land
image or video comprises two or more	:	34 Car 3 - 32 2 3
steganographic watermarks.		Wegan action in the second

Table 9: Claim 9

9. The method of claim 8 wherein the	See, e.g., Fig. 1, elements 10 and 10b; see
predetermined characteristics correspond to	also page 4, lines 4-12; see also, e.g., page
a signal relationship between the two or	7, lines 5-15.
more steganographic watermarks.	

# **Information Disclosure Statement**

An Information Disclosure Statement and Form 1449 are provided herewith. Consideration of the information listed therein is respectfully requested.

## **Priority Claim**

There appears to be a potential gap in one branch of our priority chain, the chain stretching back to 1995. Thus, it appears that our priority claim may only be effective to January 1998. (We mention this so that the Examiner can better evaluate the prior art.)

## Conclusion

The application is believed to be in condition for allowance. An early notice of allowance is respectfully requested.

Nevertheless, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at 503-469-4685 if any issue arises.

Date: March 16, 2006

Customer No. 23735

Phone: 503-469-4685 FAX: 503-469-4777

granati an rapid

Respectfully submitted,

**DIGIMARC CORPORATION** 

Steven W. Stewart

Registration No. 45,133